

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,297	07/26/2006	Tsutomu Nakamura	Q95748	6533
23373 7590 02/21/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			FISCHER, JUSTIN R	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
111011111111111111111111111111111111111			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/587,297 NAKAMURA, TSUTOMU Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Justin R. Fischer 1791 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 July 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) | Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) | Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
2) | Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 75 | Notice of Information Discious Statement(s) (PTO/SE/DE) | 55 | Notice of Informal Patient A/† lication |
2- Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informal Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informal Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informal Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informal Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informal Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informal Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informal Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informal Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informal Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informal Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informal Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informal Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informal Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informatic Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informatic Patient Patient A/† lication |
3.5 Patient and Travenus Office | 15 | Notice of Informatic Patient Pat

Application/Control Number: 10/587,297 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-5 and 14, drawn to a radial tire having a specific lateral out-plane bending rigidity and peripheral in-plane bending rigidity, classified in class
- 152, subclass 450.
- II. Claims 6-8, drawn to a radial tire having a steel cord comprising a 1x2 open cord construction and filament diameter between 0.12 and 0.40 mm, classified in class 152, subclass 451.
- III. Claims 9-13, drawn to a radial tire having a steel cord arranged at an end count between 20 and 65 pieces per 25 mm and having a cord diameter between 0.0 and 1.20 mm, classified in class 152, subclass 531.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as independent inventions, each having a unique and separate means for establishing patentability. Invention I requires a specific lateral out-plane bending rigidity and peripheral in-plane bending rigidity, which is not required by Invention II. Invention II, on the other hand, requires a steel cord comprising a 1x2 open cord construction and filament diameter between 0.12 and 0.40 mm, which is not required by Invention I. Thus, it is evident that the respective inventions are directed to patentably distinct subject matter and restriction is proper.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as independent inventions, each having a unique and separate means for establishing patentability. Invention I requires a specific lateral out-plane bending rigidity and peripheral in-plane bending rigidity, which is not required

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/587,297

Art Unit: 1791

by Invention III. Invention III, on the other hand, requires a steel cord arranged at an end count between 20 and 65 pieces per 25 mm and having a cord diameter between 0.0 and 1.20 mm, which is not required by Invention I. Thus, it is evident that the respective inventions are directed to patentably distinct subject matter and restriction is proper.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as independent inventions, each having a unique and separate means for establishing patentability. Invention II requires a steel cord comprising a 1x2 open cord construction and filament diameter between 0.12 and 0.40 mm, which is not required by Invention III. Invention III, on the other hand, requires a steel cord arranged at an end count between 20 and 65 pieces per 25 mm and having a cord diameter between 0.0 and 1.20 mm, which is not required by Invention II. Thus, it is evident that the respective inventions are directed to patentably distinct subject matter and restriction is proper.
- 5. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/587,297

Art Unit: 1791

(c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries):

- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/587,297

Art Unit: 1791

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Furthermore, upon election of Invention I, a further restriction is applicable. In this instance, the respective inventions (1-5 and 14) are related as a product and a process of using said product. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product (tire) can be used in a mounting method in which the same spiral belts are used in the front and rear wheel assemblies.
- A telephone call was made to Steven Gruskin on January 25, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

## Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin R. Fischer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1215. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00). Application/Control Number: 10/587,297

Art Unit: 1791

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Justin R Fischer
/Justin R Fischer/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791